arXiv:1407.4327v1 [math-ph] 16 Jul 2014

Second-order integrals of motion for spin involving systems in E_2

İsmet Yurduşen *

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University,
06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

July 17, 2014

Abstract

In two-dimensional Euclidean plane, existence of second-order integrals of motion is investigated for integrable Hamiltonian systems involving spin (*e.g.,* those systems describing interaction between two particles with spin 0 and spin 1/2) and it has been shown that no nontrivial second-order integrals of motion exist for such systems.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 03.65.-w, 11.30.-j

1 Introduction

In classical mechanics, existing of n functionally independent integrals of motion defines integrability (in Liouville sense) of a Hamiltonian system with n degrees of freedom. These integrals, including the Hamiltonian itself, must be well-defined functions on phase space and be in involution. This concept of integrability is extended to define superintegrability by requiring the existence of at least one and at most $n-1$ (in order to have dynamics in the system) additional integrals of motion. The total set of integrals of motion must be functionally independent, however, the additional ones are not necessarily in involution among themselves, nor with the already existing n integrals of motion (except the Hamiltonian itself). All these concepts are also introduced in quantum mechanics through well-defined linear integrals of motion operators which are supposed to be algebraically independent [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

In quantum mechanics superintegrable systems are of physical interest because superintegrability entails exact solvability, meaning that the bound state energy levels can be calculated algebraically and the wave functions expressed in terms of polynomials in the appropriate variables, possibly multiplied by an overall factor. It has been conjectured [8] that all maximally (having $2n-1$ integrals of motion) superintegrable systems are also exactly solvable and this has been supported by many examples [8, 9].

*E-mail address: yurdusen@hacettepe.edu.tr

Systematic investigation of the superintegrable systems and their properties was initiated by the works of Smorodinsky, Winternitz and collaborators in 1965 [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Most of the earlier work was devoted on the quadratic superintegrability, (*i.e.*, with integrals of motion that are second-order polynomials in the momenta) and directly related with the multiseparability in 2- and 3-dimensional Euclidean spaces [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. More recently, the investigation of the quadratic superintegrability was extended to curved spaces and also higher-dimensional ones [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Although it is rather easy to obtain first- and second-order integrals of motion for scalar Hamiltonians (*e.g.*, without a vector potential term or spin independent Hamiltonians) in Euclidean space, it is much more difficult to obtain third- and higher-order integrals [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. However, this situation has changed by the publication of the seminal papers [23, 24] and since then infinite families of classical and quantum systems with integrals of arbitrary order have been discovered, shown to be superintegrable and solved [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

All the above research activity is about the so called "natural" Hamiltonians and recently an extended review article has been published describing the current status of the subject [31].

Other than natural Hamiltonians there are also other type of Hamiltonian systems for which the superintegrability properties are investigated. For example, Hamiltonian systems involving velocity dependent potentials (*e.g.*, related to magnetic field) [32] and Hamiltonian systems involving particles with spin [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47].

Systematic search for superintegrable systems with spin was initiated in [36], where the authors considered two nonrelativistic quantum particles, one with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, the other with spin 0. Physically the most interesting Hamiltonian for such systems is

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V_0(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{2}\Big\{V_1(\vec{r}), (\vec{\sigma}, \vec{L})\Big\}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{,\}$ denotes an anticommutator and σ_1, σ_2, σ_3 are the usual Pauli matrices. $V_0(\vec{r})$ and $V_1(\vec{r})$ are scalar and interaction potentials, respectively. This Hamiltonian given in E_3 would describe, for instance a low energy (nonrelativistic) pion–nucleon interaction.

In [36] first-order integrability and superintegrability was studied in E_2. Articles [39, 43] were devoted to systematic search of first- and second-order superintegrability in E_3.

In this paper we will consider the Hamiltonian (1.1) in E_2 and investigate the existence of second-order integrals of motion. For simplicity we shall set the reduced mass m of the two particle system equal to $m = 1$ and use units in which the Planck constant is $\hbar = 1$. Keeping $\hbar$ in the Hamiltonian and integrals of motion does not change any of the conclusions. In particular V_0 and V_1 do not depend on $\hbar$. In (1.1) H is a matrix operator acting on a two-component spinor and we will decompose it in terms of the 2×2 identity matrix I and σ_3 (the matrix I will be dropped whenever this does not cause confusion). L is the angular momentum operator.

In the next section we give the first-order integrable and superintegrable Hamiltonian systems, obtained from

the analysis of the commutativity condition $[H, X_1] = 0$, where X_1 is the general first-order integral of motion in E_2. For details see [36]. In Section 3, we search for the existence of second-order integrals of motion in E_2 for the two integrable cases obtained in Section 2. Finally, in the last section we give some conclusions.

2 First-order integrability and superintegrability in E_2

In this section let us briefly review the results obtained in [36]. Considering that the motion is constrained to a Euclidean plane (*i.e.*, assuming $\Psi(\vec{r}) = \Psi(x, y)$ and setting $p_3 = 0$, $z = 0$), we have the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}({p_1}^2 + {p_2}^2) + V_0(x, y) + V_1(x, y)\sigma_3 L_3 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_3(L_3 V_1(x, y)) \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$p_1 = -i\partial_x, \qquad p_2 = -i\partial_y, \qquad L_3 = i(y\partial_x - x\partial_y), \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The general first-order integral of motion to consider would be,

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{F}_1\,, p_1\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{F}_2\,, p_2\} + \mathcal{F}_3\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = \mathcal{F}_{\mu 0} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu 1}\,\sigma_3\,, \qquad \mu = 1, 2, 3\,. \tag{2.3}$$

All six functions $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, ($\mu = 1, 2, 3$ and $\nu = 0, 1$) are real functions of x and y. Our aim is to find at least one such integral of motion from the analysis of the commutativity condition $[H, X_1] = 0$. This condition provides 12 determining equations for the 6 functions $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, as well as the unknown potentials $V_0(x, y)$ and $V_1(x, y)$. Six of the determining equations, which are obtained from equating the coefficients of the second-order terms to zero, give

$$\mathcal{F}_{1\nu} = \omega_\nu y + a_\nu, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{2\nu} = -\omega_\nu x + b_\nu\,, \qquad \nu = 0, 1\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where ω_ν, a_ν and b_ν are real constants and the rest of the determining equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{3\nu,x} &= \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}[-b_\xi V_1 - (\omega_\xi y + a_\xi) y V_{1,x} + (\omega_\xi x - b_\xi) y V_{1,y}]\,, \\ \mathcal{F}_{3\nu,y} &= \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}[a_\xi V_1 + (\omega_\xi y + a_\xi) x V_{1,x} - (\omega_\xi x - b_\xi) x V_{1,y}]\,, \\ (\omega_\nu y + a_\nu) V_{0,x} + (-\omega_\nu x + b_\nu) V_{0,y} &= \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}(x\mathcal{F}_{3\xi,y} - y\mathcal{F}_{3\xi,x}) V_1\,, \qquad (\nu, \xi) = (0, 1)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The analysis of the determining equations (2.5) are summarized as:

1) Superintegrable system

There exists only one first-order superintegrable system with $V_1 \neq 0$:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2(x^2 + y^2) + \gamma\sigma_3 L_3, \qquad \gamma = \text{const}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

It allows an 8-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of first-order integrals of motion with a basis given by

$$L_\pm = i(y\partial_x - x\partial_y)I_\pm\,, \quad X_\pm = (i\partial_x \mp \gamma y)I_\pm\,, \quad Y_\pm = (i\partial_y \pm \gamma x)I_\pm\,, \quad I_\pm = I \pm \sigma_3\,. \tag{2.7}$$

The algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of two central extensions of the Euclidean Lie algebra $e(2)$

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \tilde{e}_+(2) \oplus \tilde{e}_-(2)\,, \qquad \tilde{e}_\pm(2) = \{L_\pm, X_\pm, Y_\pm, I_\pm\}\,.$$

2) Integrable systems

There exist integrable systems with one integral of motion in addition to H. They are given as

a) Integrable system with rotationally invariant potentials:

$$V_0 = V_0(r)\,, \qquad V_1 = V_1(r)\,, \qquad r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}\,,$$
$$X = (\omega_0 + \omega_1\sigma_3)L_3\,, \qquad \omega_\nu = \text{const}\,, \qquad \nu = 0, 1\,. \tag{2.8}$$

b) Integrable system with a x-dependent interaction potential:

$$V_1 = V_1(x)\,, \qquad V_0 = \frac{y^2}{2}{V_1}^2(x) + F(x)\,,$$
$$X = -i\partial_y - \sigma_3 \int V_1(x)dx\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The above results should be understood up to gauge transformations of the form

$$\tilde{H} = U^{-1}HU, \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha = \alpha(\kappa), \qquad \kappa = \frac{y}{x}\,,$$

which leaves the Hamiltonian (2.1) form invariant. However, the potentials transform accordingly

$$\tilde{V_1} = V_1 + \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{x^2}, \qquad \tilde{V_0} = V_0 + (1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2})(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\alpha}^2}{x^2} + \dot{\alpha}V_1)\,. \tag{2.10}$$

3 Second-order superintegrability in E_2

The system obtained in Section 2 with a constant interaction potential term is maximally superintegrable and hence all the higher-order integrals of motion can be expressed in terms of the first-order ones, given in (2.7). However, for the integrable systems (2.8) and (2.9) it is worth to search for the existence of second-order integrals of motion in order to classify further the superintegrable systems with spin in E_2. In this section, we investigate the existence of such second-order integrals of motion.

3.1 The potentials $V_0 = V_0(r)$ and $V_1 = V_1(r)$

For these rotationally invariant potentials the Hamiltonian (2.1) becomes,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}({p_1}^2 + {p_2}^2) + V_0(x^2+y^2) + V_1(x^2+y^2)\,\sigma_3\,L_3\,, \tag{3.1}$$

and the general second-order integral of motion to consider would be,

$$X_2 = \mathcal{G}_1(L_3\,p_1 + p_1\,L_3) + \mathcal{G}_2(L_3\,p_2 + p_2\,L_3) + \mathcal{G}_3({p_1}^2 - {p_2}^2) + 2\,\mathcal{G}_4\,p_1\,p_2 + X_1\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\tau$ $(\tau = 1,\ldots,4)$ are constants and X_1 is the first-order integral of motion given in (2.2). These are considered as

$$\mathcal{G}_\tau = \mathcal{G}_{\tau 0} + \mathcal{G}_{\tau 1}\,\sigma_3\,, \quad \tau = 1,\ldots,4\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_\mu = \mathcal{F}_{\mu 0} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu 1}\,\sigma_3\,, \quad \mu = 1,2,3\,. \tag{3.3}$$

The determining equations, obtained by equating the coefficients of the second-order terms to zero in the commutativity equation $[H, X_2] = 0$, read

$$2\,\sigma_3\left((\mathcal{G}_4 - \mathcal{G}_2 y)V_1(x^2+y^2) + 2\,y\,[\mathcal{G}_3 x + y\,(\mathcal{G}_4 - \mathcal{G}_1 x - \mathcal{G}_2 y)]\,{V_1}'(x^2+y^2)\right) + \mathcal{F}_{1,x} = 0\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$2\,\sigma_3\left((\mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{G}_1 x)V_1(x^2+y^2) + 2\,x\,[-\mathcal{G}_3 y + x\,(\mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{G}_1 x + \mathcal{G}_2 y)]\,{V_1}'(x^2+y^2)\right) - \mathcal{F}_{2,y} = 0\,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$2\sigma_3\big((\mathcal{G}_2 x + \mathcal{G}_1 y - 2\mathcal{G}_3)V_1(x^2+y^2) - 2\left[\mathcal{G}_3(x^2+y^2) - 2xy(\mathcal{G}_1 x + \mathcal{G}_2 y)\right]{V_1}'(x^2+y^2)\big) + \mathcal{F}_{1,y} + \mathcal{F}_{2,x} = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to the argument. From equations (3.4)–(3.6) we obtain compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$, which are in polar form expressed as

$$\Gamma_\nu\left(r^3 V_{1,rrr} + 7r^2 V_{1,rr} + 9r V_{1,r}\right) + \Lambda_\nu\left(r^2 V_{1,rrr} + 3r V_{1,rr} - 3V_{1,r}\right) = 0\,, \qquad \nu = 0,1\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\Gamma_\nu = \mathcal{G}_{1\nu}\cos\theta + \mathcal{G}_{2\nu}\sin\theta\,, \qquad \Lambda_\nu = \mathcal{G}_{4\nu}\cos 2\theta - \mathcal{G}_{3\nu}\sin 2\theta\,, \qquad \nu = 0,1\,. \tag{3.8}$$

In general, (3.7) represents an overdetermined system of two different equations for the potentials V_1, namely

$$r^3 V_{1,rrr} + 7r^2 V_{1,rr} + 9r V_{1,r} = 0\,, \tag{3.9}$$

$$r^2 V_{1,rrr} + 3r V_{1,rr} - 3V_{1,r} = 0\,, \tag{3.10}$$

simultaneous solutions of which give

$$V_1(r) = -\frac{\gamma_1}{2r^2} + \gamma_2\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are constants. Comparing (3.11) with (2.10) we see that we can annul the constant γ_1 by a gauge transformation. Hence, we have a constant spin-orbit interaction potential that is found in Section 2. This system is maximally first-order superintegrable and thus all the higher-order integrals of motion can be expressed in terms of the first-order ones given in (2.7).

When $\Gamma_0 = \lambda\Gamma_1$ and $\Lambda_0 = \lambda\Lambda_1$ with $\lambda =$ constant, an exception occurs and the two equations (3.7) coincide. Hence, bearing in mind that V_1 does not depend on θ, now the equation (3.7) implies either (3.9) together with Λ_ν are zero, or (3.10) together with Γ_ν are zero. Thus we have the following two cases:

Case I: $\Lambda_\nu = 0$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$ and

$$V_1(r) = -\frac{1}{2r^2}\left\{\gamma_1 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma_2 + 3\gamma_2\log r\right\} + \gamma_3\,, \tag{3.12}$$

where γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 are constants.

Case II: $\Gamma_\nu = 0$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$ and

$$V_1(r) = \frac{1}{2}r^2\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2r^2}\chi_2 + \chi_3\,, \tag{3.13}$$

where χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 are constants.

Let us investigate these cases in detail.

Case I: From equations (3.4) and (3.5) together with (3.6) we obtain the following forms of $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$, which we present in polar form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{1\nu} = \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}\Big[\tfrac{1}{4}\Big((\mathcal{G}_{1\xi}\cos 2\theta + \mathcal{G}_{2\xi}\sin 2\theta)(2\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2 + 4\gamma_3 r^2 + 6\gamma_2\log r)\\ +6\mathcal{G}_{1\xi}\gamma_2\log\tfrac{1}{r}\Big) - \mathcal{G}_{1\xi}r^2\gamma_3 + 3\mathcal{G}_{2\xi}\gamma_2\theta\Big] + \gamma_{4\nu}r\sin\theta + \gamma_{5\nu}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{2\nu} = \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}\Big[\tfrac{1}{4}\Big((\mathcal{G}_{1\xi}\sin 2\theta - \mathcal{G}_{2\xi}\cos 2\theta)(2\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2 + 4\gamma_3 r^2 + 6\gamma_2\log r)\\ +6\mathcal{G}_{2\xi}\gamma_2\log\tfrac{1}{r}\Big) - \mathcal{G}_{2\xi}r^2\gamma_3 - 3\mathcal{G}_{1\xi}\gamma_2\theta\Big] - \gamma_{4\nu}r\cos\theta + \gamma_{6\nu}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\gamma_{4\nu}$, $\gamma_{5\nu}$, $\gamma_{6\nu}$ are constants and $(\nu, \xi) = (0, 1)$. Introducing (3.14) and (3.15) into the determining equations, obtained by equating the coefficients of the lower-order terms to zero in the commutativity equation, we obtain compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{3\nu}$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(16r^3(2V_{0,r} + rV_{0,rr}) + \Big(60\gamma_1\gamma_2 - 4{\gamma_1}^2 + 63{\gamma_2}^2 - 24r^2\gamma_2\gamma_3 - 48r^4{\gamma_3}^2\\ &\qquad +12\gamma_2\big(3\gamma_2(\log(\frac{1}{r}) - (\log r - 5)\log r) - 2\gamma_1\log r\big)\Big)\Big)\\ &+\left(\frac{24\gamma_2\gamma_{5\nu}\cos\theta + 72\mathcal{G}_{2\nu}{\gamma_2}^2\theta\cos\theta + 24\gamma_2\gamma_{6\nu}\sin\theta - 72\mathcal{G}_{1\nu}{\gamma_2}^2\theta\sin\theta}{\mathcal{G}_{1\nu}\cos\theta + \mathcal{G}_{2\nu}\sin\theta}\right) = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Since we have a rotationally invariant V_0, the last parenthesis in equation (3.16) should vanish identically, which implies $\gamma_2 = 0$. After setting $\gamma_2 = 0$, V_0 can be obtained from (3.16). However, setting $\gamma_2 = 0$ in (3.12) and considering (2.10) we see that we can annul the constant γ_1 by a gauge transformation. Hence, again we have a constant spin-orbit interaction potential.

Case II: For this case equations (3.4) and (3.5) together with (3.6) imply the following forms of $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{1\nu} &= \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}\Big[\frac{r^4\chi_1 + \chi_2 + 2r^2\chi_3}{r}(\mathcal{G}_{3\xi}\sin\theta - \mathcal{G}_{4\xi}\cos\theta) - \frac{2r^3\chi_1}{3}(\mathcal{G}_{3\xi}\sin 3\theta - \mathcal{G}_{4\xi}\cos 3\theta)\Big] + \chi_{4\nu}r\sin\theta + \chi_{5\nu}\,,\\ \mathcal{F}_{2\nu} &= \delta_{\nu,1-\xi}\Big[\frac{r^4\chi_1 + \chi_2 + 2r^2\chi_3}{r}(\mathcal{G}_{3\xi}\cos\theta + \mathcal{G}_{4\xi}\sin\theta) + \frac{2r^3\chi_1}{3}(\mathcal{G}_{3\xi}\cos 3\theta + \mathcal{G}_{4\xi}\sin 3\theta)\Big] - \chi_{4\nu}r\cos\theta + \chi_{6\nu},\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where $\chi_{4\nu}$, $\chi_{5\nu}$, $\chi_{6\nu}$ are constants and $(\nu, \xi) = (0, 1)$. Introducing (3.17) into the determining equations, obtained by equating the coefficients of the lower-order terms to zero in the commutativity equation, we obtain compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{3\nu}$ $(\nu = 0, 1)$

$$\Lambda_\nu\big(17r^8{\chi_1}^2 + 3{\chi_2}^2 + 36r^6\chi_1\chi_3 + 3r^3(V_{0,r} - rV_{0,rr})\big) - 6r^5\chi_1(\chi_{5\nu}\cos\theta - \chi_{6\nu}\sin\theta) = 0\,. \tag{3.18}$$

From this compatibility condition (3.18) we conclude that we must have either $\chi_1 = 0$ or $\chi_{5\nu} = 0$ and $\chi_{6\nu} = 0$.

If $\chi_1 = 0$, then considering (2.10) we can annul the constant χ_2 in (3.13) by a gauge transformation and hence again we have a constant spin-orbit interaction potential.

If $\chi_{5\nu} = 0$ and $\chi_{6\nu} = 0$, then equation (3.18) implies

$$V_0(r) = \frac{17\, r^6 {\chi_1}^2}{72} + \frac{{\chi_2}^2}{8r^2} + \frac{3}{2}\, r^4\, \chi_1\chi_2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \epsilon_1\,, \tag{3.19}$$

where ϵ_1 is a constant. Upon introduction of this V_0 back into the determining equations coming from first- and zeroth-order terms, forces us to set $\chi_1 = 0$ in which case we are back in the previous case with a constant spin-orbit interaction potential.

3.2 The potentials $V_0 = \frac{y^2}{2} {V_1}^2(x) + F(x)$ and $V_1 = V_1(x)$

For these potentials the Hamiltonian (2.1) becomes,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}({p_1}^2 + {p_2}^2) + \frac{y^2}{2} {V_1}^2(x) + F(x) + V_1(x)\, \sigma_3\, L_3 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_3(L_3 V_1(x))\,, \tag{3.20}$$

and the general second-order integral of motion to consider would be,

$$X_2 = \mathcal{G}_1(L_3\, p_1 + p_1\, L_3) + \mathcal{G}_2(L_3\, p_2 + p_2\, L_3) + \mathcal{G}_3({p_1}^2 - {p_2}^2) + 2\,\mathcal{G}_4\, p_1\, p_2 + \mathcal{G}_5\, L_3^2 + X_1\,, \tag{3.21}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\tau$ ($\tau = 1, \ldots, 5$) are constants and X_1 is the first-order integral of motion given in (2.2). These are considered as

$$\mathcal{G}_\tau = \mathcal{G}_{\tau 0} + \mathcal{G}_{\tau 1}\, \sigma_3\,, \quad \tau = 1, \ldots, 5\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_\mu = \mathcal{F}_{\mu 0} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu 1}\, \sigma_3\,, \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3\,. \tag{3.22}$$

The determining equations, obtained by equating the coefficients of the second-order terms to zero in the commutativity equation $[H, X_2] = 0$, read

$$2\sigma_3\big((\mathcal{G}_4 - \mathcal{G}_2 y)V_1 + y(\mathcal{G}_3 - 2\mathcal{G}_1 y + \mathcal{G}_5 y^2)V_{1,x}\big) + \mathcal{F}_{1,x} = 0\,, \tag{3.23}$$

$$2\sigma_3\big((\mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{G}_1 x)V_1 + x(\mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{G}_1 x - (\mathcal{G}_2 + \mathcal{G}_5 x)y)V_{1,x}\big) - \mathcal{F}_{2,y} = 0\,, \tag{3.24}$$

$$2\sigma_3\big((2\mathcal{G}_3 - \mathcal{G}_2 x - \mathcal{G}_1 y)V_1 + (\mathcal{G}_3 x - (\mathcal{G}_4 + 3\mathcal{G}_1 x)y + (\mathcal{G}_2 + 2\mathcal{G}_5 x)y^2)V_{1,x}\big) - \mathcal{F}_{1,y} - \mathcal{F}_{2,x} = 0\,. \tag{3.25}$$

From equations (3.23)–(3.25) we obtain compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ ($\nu = 0, 1$),

$$12(\mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_5 y)V_{1,x} + 4\big(\mathcal{G}_4 + 2\mathcal{G}_1 x - (\mathcal{G}_2 + 2\mathcal{G}_5 x)y\big)V_{1,xx} + x\big(\mathcal{G}_4 + \mathcal{G}_1 x - (\mathcal{G}_2 + \mathcal{G}_5 x)y\big)V_{1,xxx} = 0\,. \tag{3.26}$$

Since V_1 is a function of x only, the coefficients of y in (3.26) must vanish separately (*i.e.*, either $\mathcal{G}_1 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_4 = 0$ or $\mathcal{G}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_5 = 0$). If $\mathcal{G}_1 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_4 = 0$, then (3.26) implies

$$12\mathcal{G}_{5\nu} V_{1,x} + 4\big(\mathcal{G}_{2\nu} + 2\mathcal{G}_{5\nu} x\big)V_{1,xx} + x\big(\mathcal{G}_{2\nu} + \mathcal{G}_{5\nu} x)V_{1,xxx} = 0\,, \quad \nu = 0, 1\,. \tag{3.27}$$

One of these equations, say the one with $\nu = 0$, can be solved for V_1 and upon introducing this solution into the other equation we obtain the following constraint on the constants

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}_{21}}{\mathcal{G}_{20}} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{51}}{\mathcal{G}_{50}} = \lambda_1 \,, \tag{3.28}$$

where λ_1 is a constant. On the other hand if $\mathcal{G}_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_5 = 0$, then (3.26) implies

$$12\mathcal{G}_{1\nu}V_{1,x} + 4\big(\mathcal{G}_{4\nu} + 2\mathcal{G}_{1\nu}x\big)V_{1,xx} + x\big(\mathcal{G}_{4\nu} + \mathcal{G}_{1\nu}x\big)V_{1,xxx} = 0 \,, \quad \nu = 0, 1 \,. \tag{3.29}$$

Similarly, solving (3.29) for V_1 gives the following constraint on the constants

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}_{11}}{\mathcal{G}_{10}} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{41}}{\mathcal{G}_{40}} = \lambda_2 \,, \tag{3.30}$$

where λ_2 is a constant.

In both cases, the compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ ($\nu = 0, 1$), imply the following generic form of the interaction potential

$$V_1(x) = \frac{-3x^2\alpha_1/\mathcal{G}_{\mu 0}^2 + (2x + \lambda_a)\alpha_2}{6x^2(x + \lambda_a)^2} + \alpha_3 \,, \quad a = 1, 2 \,, \tag{3.31}$$

where α_1, α_2, α_3, λ_a are constants and μ is either 1 or 4, or 2 or 5.

Only exception to this generic potential occurs if we have $\mathcal{G}_{\mu 0} = 0$, in which case the interaction potential V_1 becomes

$$V_1(x) = \frac{\zeta_1}{6x^2} + x\zeta_2 + \zeta_3 \,, \tag{3.32}$$

where ζ_1, ζ_2 and ζ_3 are constants.

In all three cases we proceed in a similar fashion as we did for rotationally invariant potentials. More specifically, for two types of potentials (3.31) and (3.32) we find $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ ($\nu = 0, 1$) from equations (3.23) and (3.24) together with (3.25). Then introducing these forms of $\mathcal{F}_{1\nu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\nu}$ ($\nu = 0, 1$) into the determining equations, obtained by equating the coefficients of the lower-order terms to zero in the commutativity equation, we obtain compatibility conditions for $\mathcal{F}_{3\nu}$ ($\nu = 0, 1$). In order to satisfy these compatibility conditions, either ζ_2 must vanish in (3.32) or for the generic potential (3.31) $\mathcal{G}_5$ must also vanish for the case $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_4 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_4$ must vanish for the case $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_5 = 0$. Unfortunately, these equations are rather long to present here.

If $\zeta_2 = 0$ in (3.32), then by means of gauge transformation (2.10) we can annul the constant $\zeta_1 = 0$ in (3.32) and have a constant spin-orbit interaction potential. If $\mathcal{G}_5 = 0$ in addition to $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_4 = 0$ or $\mathcal{G}_4 = 0$ in addition to $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_5 = 0$, then we repeat the analysis from the beginning and find the following results

Case I: $\mathcal{G}_1 = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_4 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_5 = 0$

$$V_1(x) = \frac{\alpha_1}{6x^2} + \alpha_3 \,, \quad F(x) = \frac{{\alpha_1}^2}{72x^2} + \frac{x^2{\alpha_3}^2}{2} \,, \quad V_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{72}\left(\frac{{\alpha_1}^2}{x^2} + 36x^2{\alpha_3}^2 + \frac{y^2(\alpha_1 + 6x^2\alpha_3)^2}{x^4}\right) \,, \tag{3.33}$$

where α_1, α_2 and α_3 are constants.

Case II: $\mathcal{G}_2 = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_5 = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_4 = 0$

$$V_1(x) = -\frac{\alpha_2}{2x^2} + \alpha_3\,, \quad F(x) = \frac{{\alpha_2}^2}{8x^2} + \frac{x^2{\alpha_3}^2}{2}\,, \quad V_0(x,y) = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{{\alpha_2}^2}{x^2} + 4x^2{\alpha_3}^2 + 4y^2\big(\alpha_3 - \frac{\alpha_2}{2x^2}\big)^2\right)\,, \qquad (3.34)$$

where again α_1, α_2 and α_3 are constants.

Notice that the potentials $V_1(x)$ and $V_0(x,y)$ given in (3.33) and (3.34) are exactly the same ($\alpha_2 \to -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1$).

We conclude that for these two cases once again we have a constant spin-orbit interaction potential (up to the gauge transformation (2.10)) and hence all the second-order integrals of motion that are obtained from the analysis can be expressed in terms of the first-order ones given in (2.7).

4 Conclusions

The main result of this paper can be given as a theorem.

Theorem 1. In Euclidean plane E_2, there exists no nontrivial second-order integrals of motion of the form (3.2) and (3.21) for the integrable Hamiltonian systems (3.1) and (3.20), respectively.

In an earlier article [36] it was shown that in the presence of spin first-order integrable and superintegrable systems exist in E_2. The superintegrable Hamiltonian of such systems allows the separation of variables in polar and Cartesian coordinates. Indeed, the Pauli-Schrödinger equation for them can be exactly solved. The integrable Hamiltonians also allow the separation of variables in polar and Cartesian coordinates. However, in order to solve them exactly the interaction potential $V_1(r)$ and scalar potential $V_0(r)$ have to be specified. For instance, choosing $V_1(r) = \lambda/r^2$ (λ = constant) and $V_0(r) = \alpha r^2/2$ (α = constant), the radial part of the wave function of the Pauli-Schrödinger equation can be expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials.

Another way of dealing such problems is to search for potentials admitting an additional integral of motion. Since it was shown in [36] that there exist exactly one first-order integral of motion for these integrable systems (see the equations (2.8) and (2.9)), the additional integral of motion should be higher-order one. In this article we search for the second-order ones and sum up our results as Theorem 1. In a future work third- and higher-order integrals of motion can be investigated for such systems.

Investigation of integrability and superintegrability properties of other type of Hamiltonian systems involving spin in Euclidean plane is in progress.

5 Acknowledgments

The author thanks Pavel Winternitz for an illuminating discussion. This work is partially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK).

References

[1] Fock V A 1935 Zur Theorie des Wasserstoffatoms *Zeits. f. Physik* 98–145

[2] Bargmann V 1936 Zur Theorie des Wasserstoffatoms *Zeits. f. Physik* 576–582

[3] Friš I, Mandrosov V, Smorodinsky J, Uhlıř M and Winternitz P 1965 On higher-order symmetries in quantum mechanics *Phys. Lett.* **16** 354–6

[4] Winternitz P, Smorodinsky Ya. A, Uhlıř M and Friš I 1967 Symmetry groups in classical and quantum mechanics *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **4** 444–450

[5] Makarov A, Smorodinsky J, Valiev Kh and Winternitz P 1967 A systematic search for non-relativistic systems with dynamical symmetries *Nuovo Cim.* A **52** 1061–84

[6] Evans N W 1990 Superintegrability in classical mechanics *Phys. Rev.* A **41** 5666–76

[7] Evans N W 1990 Superintegrability of the Winternitz system *Phys. Lett.* A **147** 483–6

[8] Tempesta P, Turbiner A V and Winternitz P 2001 Exact solvability of superintegrable systems *J. Math. Phys.* **42** 4248–57

[9] Rodriguez M A and Winternitz P 2002 Quantum superintegrability and exact solvability in n dimensions *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 1309–22

[10] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Winternitz P 2002 Superintegrability in a two-dimensional space of nonconstant curvature *J. Math. Phys.* **43 (2)** 970–83

[11] Kalnins E G, Williams G C, Miller Jr W and Pogosyan G S 2002 On superintegrable symmetry-breaking potentials in N-dimensional Euclidean space *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 4755–73

[12] Kalnins E G, Kress J M, Miller Jr W and Winternitz P 2003 Superintegrable systems in Darboux spaces *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 5811–48

[13] Miller Jr W 2005 Second order superintegrable systems in three dimensions *SIGMA* **1** 015 (17pp)

[14] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller Jr W 2006 Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces V. Two and three dimensional quantum systems *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 093501 (25pp)

[15] Kalnins E G, Kress J M, Miller Jr W and Post S 2009 Structure and theory for second-order $2D$ superintegrable systems with 1 parameter potentials *SIGMA* **5** 008 (24pp)

[16] Drach J 1935 Sur l'intégration logique des équations de la dynamique à deux variables: Forces conservatives. Intégrales cubiques. Mouvements dans le plan. *C.R. Acad. Sci.* **200** 22-26

[17] Gravel S and Winternitz P 2003 Superintegrability with third-order integrals in quantum and classical mechanics *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 5902–12

[18] Gravel S 2004 Hamiltonians separable in Cartesian coordinates and third-order integrals of motion *J. Math. Phys.* **45** 1003–19

[19] Marquette I and Winternitz P 2007 Polynomial Poisson algebras for classical superintegrable systems with a third-order integral of motion *J. Math. Phys.* **48** 012902 (31pp)

[20] Marquette I 2009 Superintegrability with third-order integrals of motion, cubic algebras, and supersymmetric quantum mechanics II: Painlevé transcendent potentials *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 095202 (18pp)

[21] Tremblay F and Winternitz P 2010 Third order superintegrable systems separating in polar coordinates *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **43** 175206 (23pp)

[22] Popper I, Post S and Winternitz P 2012 Third-order superintegrable systems separating in parabolic coordinates *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 062105 (20pp)

[23] Tremblay F, Turbiner A V and Winternitz P 2009 An infinite family of solvable and integrable quantum systems on a plane *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **42** 242001

[24] Tremblay F, Turbiner A V and Winternitz P 2010 Periodic orbits for an infinite family of classical superintegrable systems *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **43** 015202 (16pp)

[25] Post S and Winternitz P 2010 An infinite family of superintegrable deformations of the Coulomb potential *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **43** 222001 (11pp)

[26] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W Jr 2010 Superintegrability and higher order constants for quantum systems *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **43** 265205 (25pp)

[27] Kalnins E G, Kess J M and Miller W Jr 2010 A recurrence relation approach to higher order quantum superintegrability *SIGMA* **7** 031 (24pp)

[28] Quesne C 2010 Superintegrability of the Tremblay-Turbiner-Winternitz quantum Hamiltonians on a plane for odd k *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **43** 082001 (14pp)

[29] Marquette I 2011 An infinite family of superintegrable systems from higher order ladder operators and supersymmetry *J. Phys. : Conf. Ser.* **284** 012047 (8pp)

[30] Levesque D, Post S and Winternitz P 2012 Infinite families of superintegrable systems separable in subgroup coordinates *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** 465204 (17pp)

[31] Miller W Jr, Post S and Winternitz P 2013 Classical and quantum superintegrability with applications *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 423001 (125pp)

[32] Bérubé J, and Winternitz P 2004 Integrable and superintegrable quantum systems in a magnetic field *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (14pp)

[33] Pronko G P and Stroganov Y G 1977 New example of quantum mechanical problem with hidden symmetry *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** 1075–77

[34] D'Hoker E and Vinet L 1985 Constants of motion for a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle in the field of a Dyon *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** no.10

[35] Feher L and Horvathy P A 1988 Non-relativistic scattering of a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle off a self-dual monopole *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** 1451–60

[36] Winternitz P and Yurduşen İ 2006 Integrable and superintegrable systems with spin *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 103509 (10pp)

[37] Pronko G P 2007 Quantum superintegrable systems for arbitrary spin *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **40** 1333–36

[38] Frank M, Turan I and Yurduşen İ 2008 The Integrability of Pauli System in Lorentz Violating Background *JHEP.* Issue: **1** 039 (20pp)

[39] Winternitz P and Yurduşen İ 2009 Integrable and superintegrable systems with spin in three-dimensional Euclidean space *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 385203 (23pp)

[40] Nikitin A G and Karadzhov Y 2011 Matrix superpotentials *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **44** 305204 (21pp)

[41] Nikitin A G and Karadzhov Y 2011 Enhanced classification of matrix superpotentials *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **44** 445202 (24pp)

[42] Nikitin A G 2012 Matrix superpotentials and superintegrable systems for arbitrary spin *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** 225205 (13pp)

[43] Désilets J-F, Winternitz P and Yurduşen İ 2012 Superintegrable systems with spin and second-order integrals of motion *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** 475201 (26pp)

[44] Nikitin A G 2012 New exactly solvable system with Fock symmetry *J. Phys. A. Math. Theor.* **45** 485204 (9pp)

[45] Nikitin A G 2012 Integrability and supersymmetry of Schrödinger-Pauli equations for neutral particles *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 122103 (15pp)

[46] Nikitin A G 2013 Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector for arbitrary spin *J. Math. Phys.* **54** 123506 (30pp)

[47] Riglioni D, Gingras O and Winternitz P 2014 Superintegrable systems with spin induced by coalgebra symmetry *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** 122002 (12pp)